


Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/019,667	Applicant(s) CHOUNG ET AL.
	Examiner Peter A. Hruskoci	Art Unit 1724
All Participants:		Status of Application: _____
(1) <u>Peter A. Hruskoci</u> .		(3) _____.
(2) <u>C. A. Rowley</u> .		(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>20 January 2004</u>		Time: _____
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>Prior Art Rejections in the prior Office action dated 7-31-03</i>		
Claims discussed: <i>All</i>		
Prior art documents discussed: <i>Prior Art of Record</i>		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>It was agreed to amend claim 1 and cancel claims 4 and 15-20 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of page 5 lines 13 and 14, and page 11 lines 2-14 of the specification.</i>		
Part III.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Assent/Attorney's Representative Signature – if appropriate)